

ОСМИСЛЕННЯ ДИФЕНІЦІЙ ЯК ШЛЯХ ДО ВИРОБЛЕННЯ НАУКОВОГО КРИТИЧНОГО МИСЛЕННЯ У СТУДЕНТІВ

Сучасна вища освіта покликана формувати компетентного професіонала для певної сфери діяльності, а також ростити науково-педагогічні кадри. Прищеплення любові до науки, розвиток критичного мислення та навичок наукового творчого пошуку є одним з пріоритетних завдань продуктивного навчання. Важливим фактором для досягнення мети у процесі навчання є доцільно вибраний метод. Навчальна дисципліна «Основи наукових досліджень» має на меті підготувати студентів до наукової творчої діяльності, до написання статей та курсових і кваліфікаційних робіт. У процесі вивчення дисципліни студенти мають також опанувати наукові поняття та їх визначення. Дисципліна про наукові дослідження містить значну кількість складного теоретичного матеріалу, для його викладу актуальним є чергування теорії і практики, залучення студентів до безпосередньої участі в осмисленні окремих теоретичних положень, зокрема і визначень понять. У статті запропоновано і описано метод стимулювання навчальної діяльності та метод розвитку пізнавальної діяльності; у європейській освіті такий метод навчання називають дослідницьким. Під час навчання студенти осмислюють запропоновані викладачем варіанти дефініцій певних понять (методологія і метод), аналізують їх і письмово оформлюють власні погляди, тобто вони роблять перші спроби наукового пошуку, спираючись на погляди окремих учених. Після виконання завдань відбувається обговорення, під час якого студенти під керівництвом викладача обмінюються думками, уточнюють незрозуміле. Такий метод опанування теоретичного матеріалу допомагає студентам усвідомити факт існування суперечностей у визначенні наукових понять та суть цих суперечностей, активізує процес

пізнання та сприяє критичному мисленню, демонструє шлях до нових знань, сприяє розвитку наукових здібностей та формуванню любові до наукового пошуку. Також студенти на практиці використовують такі наукові методи, як аналіз, синтез, порівняння, зіставлення тощо.

Ключові слова: *науково-педагогічна діяльність, дефініції, методи навчання, чергування теорії і практики, здобування знання*

Slusarenko Mariia. Comprehension of Definitions as a Way to Develop Scientific Critical Thinking in Students

Modern higher education is designed to form a competent professional for a particular professional field, as well as to create new academicians and pedagogical staff. Instilling a love of science, developing critical thinking, and skills of non-standard academic research is one of the priorities of productive learning. An important factor for achieving the goal in the learning process is the appropriate method. The discipline "Fundamentals of Scientific Research" aims to prepare students for scientific and creative activities, writing articles, term papers, and graduation theses papers. In the process of studying the discipline, students must also master scientific concepts and their definitions. It contains a significant amount of complex theoretical material, so it is recommended to combine both theory and practice, involve students in direct comprehension of certain theoretical provisions, including definitions. The article proposes and describes a method of stimulating educational activity and a method of developing cognitive activity, in European education, this method of teaching is called research. During the study, students comprehend the options proposed by the teacher definitions of certain concepts (methodology and method), that is, they make the first attempts at scientific research, based on the views of individual scientists. Completion of the task is followed by a discussion, during which students exchange views and clarify unclear points under the guidance of the professor. This method of mastering theoretical material helps students to understand the existence of contradictions in the definition of scientific concepts and the essence of these contradictions, activates the process of cognition

and promotes critical thinking, opens the path to new knowledge, promotes academic abilities, and instills a love for scientific research.

Keywords: *scientific and pedagogical activity, definitions, learning method, alternation of theory and practice, knowledge acquisition*

Слюсаренко Марія Іванівна, кандидатка наук з соціальних комунікацій, старша викладачка кафедри теорії та методики практичної психології, Південноукраїнський національний педагогічний університет імені К.Д. Ушинського, Україна

Slusarenko Mariia, Candidate of Sciences in Social Communications, Senior Lecturer of the Department of Theory and Methods of Practical Psychology, South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushynsky, Ukraine

E-mail: maria_s19@ukr.net

The urgency of the problem. Ukrainian education is developing in accordance with the latest global trends. Its goal is the comprehensive development of a person as an individual and as the highest value for society. A graduate of a higher education institution is a qualified specialist who, according to the Law of Ukraine «On Higher Education», has the appropriate level of “theoretical knowledge, skills, abilities and other competencies sufficient to produce new ideas, solve complex problems in professional and /or research and innovative activity, master the methodology of scientific and pedagogical activity, as well as conduct their own scientific research, the results of which have scientific novelty, theoretical and practical significance» [8].

Ukrainian higher education institutions are called not only to train specialists for various fields of activity, but also to give growth in their classrooms to future academic and pedagogical workers, to involve students in research, to instill interest in science. Only a high level of research culture of graduates can guarantee the successful development of science in the future. Educational and professional program “Psychology” of the first (bachelor's) degree in speciality 053 “Psychology”

of the South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K.D. Ushynsky is developed in accordance with the Law of Ukraine “On Higher Education” and contains a list of competencies required for a bachelor's degree in psychology. The program provides for the development of skills important for scientific activity: the ability to learn and acquire new knowledge; be critical of others and yourself; be creative; to take care of scientific values and achievements of society; plan, organize and conduct research; analyze the data and organize the results, formulate sound conclusions and recommendations.

One of the compulsory subjects of the Educational Program is the “Fundamentals of Scientific Research”, which is offered in the third semester and is designed to instill in students a love of knowledge, to form a culture of scientific thinking, to prepare them for writing articles, term papers, graduation theses papers and more. In the basis of discipline, a foldable theoretical material is to be found, so nutrition about creative ideas is taken before carrying out, before the introduction of discipline and the choice of methods of victories is even more relevant.

Analysis of current research and publications. Scientists from the international community pay attention to the effectiveness of education. There are several aspects that the authors of scientific articles emphasize, namely that:

- modern students have good knowledge and strive to improve it; they report their interest on social networks, and the most discussed among young people are questions about teaching methods, the teacher's ability to explain and illustrate knowledge [13];
- the university professor must be a professional, endowed with competencies and should continue to improve oneself throughout their working life, e.g. regularly upgrade their qualifications and continue to study [7]; present the material clearly and meaningfully, using effective teaching methods (lecture-visualization [6], heuristic method [18], comprehension assessment method aimed at obtaining feedback from students [9], involvement of texts with hidden meanings (wayang) for mastering a foreign language [12], competitive methods [11]), etc.; and have a culture of scientific thinking [4];

- competence model of education is aimed at achieving such a comprehensive development of students, which will help them overcome life's difficulties, be responsible for their lives, achieve success, develop themselves and think critically [19]; will allow them to carry out successful professional and further educational activities [6], and continue to be qualified experts, academic and pedagogical workers with critical thinking skills [12];
- modern students need to make a lot of effort to learn to distinguish the essential in scientific information, because very often they, instead of analyzing and determining the important, retell opinions. However, “the most significant characteristic and result of critical thinking is subjective judgment, based not on intuition, but on the awareness of information obtained from the analysis of facts and evidence [2, p. 39].

Vision of non-virulent earlier parts of the foreign problem. The international scientific community is comprehensively studying the problems of education, and the development of critical thinking in students is named as one of the top priorities of modern learning [2; 3; 12; 19]. Meanwhile, the topic of forming critical thinking has not yet been fully studied and needs to be further explored.

Thus, **the goal of the article is** to reveal the features of the formation of critical scientific thinking in students in the process of studying the topic of “Methodology and methods of scientific research”.

Presentation of the main material. In the process of theoretical coverage of the topic, we suggest exploring a method of stimulating educational activities and a method of developing cognitive activity as a way to switch students from the perception of theory to direct participation in its formation. We involve students in understanding and comprehension of different views on certain concepts and developing their own points of view.

At the beginning of the day, students will be given the theory and they will learn it one hour before their scientific education. There are many options for starting: 1) students are aware of the prepared results and the stench of passive participants in the process of starting; 2) students get knowledge about the ready results and the end of

the day, the week of studying before discussing scientific problems, before scientific discussions; 3) students learn about the scientific processes and problems, get information about the processes, for the help of which they have reached the beginning of knowledge; 4) the beginning will last for a while, the students will take on the fate of the best science at once with the weekend [3]. The fourth option is called research, it is especially productive for the development of scientific critical thinking.

Research activities are useful for students because they enhance the ability to focus, analyze, compare, synthesize, stimulate critical thinking, encourage them to make independent assumptions, and open the path to new knowledge. These tools are essential in writing scientific articles, term papers, and graduation theses.

Higher education institutions are designed to grow a creatively independent person who is able to defend their position and critically evaluate the conclusions of others. Critical thinking is defined by analytical thinking, one of the key skills of the XXI century, and the method of teaching - an important means of ensuring the effectiveness of the process of formation and development of critical thinking [2].

Scientists note that teaching critical thinking means teaching to see problems, to ask questions; analyze, compare, synthesize, evaluate information from any source; make hypotheses and evaluate alternatives; make a conscious choice, make decisions and justify them [15], as well as to use special concepts and definitions and be able to operate with them [4], to carry out terminologically competent scientific communication [6].

Modern education fights for productive learning. It is not about students' unquestioning perception of the content, but also their reflection, the learning process as a comprehension of the content, and hence - the process of «development of thinking» [15]. In the process of learning as a result of intense mental activity is a complex act of cognition: the transition from ignorance to knowledge. The teacher must create appropriate conditions for the cognitive activity of students, «the desire not only to acquire knowledge but also to know how to acquire it» [11].

One of the tools of such training is taxonomy, the direction of the learning

process from low-level thinking (knowledge acquisition, understanding, application) to the formation of high-order mental operations among students, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation [12; 15].

Experience demonstrates that students are often confused in defining concepts such as methodology, scientific approach, and method. To successfully master these concepts, we used during classes the method of stimulating educational activities and the method of developing cognitive activity.

The purpose of the class is to stimulate students' critical thinking, the ability to analyze, synthesize and evaluate.

We informed the students that there is some difficulty in defining the concepts of methodology, scientific approach, and method, so we invite them to participate in the study.

We have prepared 2 tasks.

Task 1. We distributed worksheets among students, which presented six definitions of the term “methodology”, made by Ukrainian, Russian and Polish scientists, authors of articles, monographs, and textbooks. These definitions were based on controversy, namely, some scientists said that methodology is a science about methods and a system of methods [5; 14; 16; 17], one author denied the idea that methodology is a science and suggested his arguments [1], one author argued in favor of methodology as a science [10].

Each definition had a reference to the source of the information, and a list of sources was also provided.

The task for the students was the following:

1. Read the definition carefully.
2. Please, indicate what term does this definition refer to?
3. Please, describe similarities and differences in these definitions.
4. What are the counterarguments?
5. Express your own opinion about what you read, write 6-7 sentences about the views on the concept of methodology, and support them with excerpts from citations.

We took 50 minutes to complete this task. Then the students handed over to

the teacher the written assignments, and we had an oral discussion. We asked the students how they understood the task. Did they notice a contradiction in the definitions? What is this contradiction? What do they think about the fact that there are conflicting views? Did they manage to formulate their opinion?

Task 2. We also discussed the methods that students subconsciously used in the research process. We talked about analysis, comparison, and synthesis and their features.

We also assigned a similar task for students to perform at home. On a piece of paper, we prepared quotes from Jose Ortega y Gasset's article "Two Main Metaphors" [20] about the role of artistic reception for a scientist; and also gave three definitions of the term method (these were definitions from the dictionary of foreign words, the dictionary of the Ukrainian language, as well as from the works of the philosopher Francis Bacon). Definitions from dictionaries were formulated using concepts, and F. Bacon's definition was metaphorical, he compared the method with a lantern in the dark:

1. Read excerpts from Jose Ortega y Gasset's article "Two main metaphors". Find out who Jose Ortega y Gasset is, where and when he lived.
2. Highlight the conceptual ideas in the quotes from this article.
3. Read the three proposed definitions of the term "method".
4. Prepare a written answer on a separate sheet. Connect your thoughts on the method and the features of its definition, using excerpts from the article by Jose Ortega-y-Gasset. Formulate 6-8 sentences using the suggested material.

Research results. Task 1: a group of 28 people worked on the task. It is important that not all students completed the task, some did not understand it, some did not want to admit that it was difficult for them, some were very close to completing the task correctly, and some performed it correctly. The main point here is that in the process of discussion all students were active, they asked for clarifications, made questions, agreed, argued, and understood that in the interpretation of the concept of methodology multiple definitions can exist.

Nevertheless, the most common interpretation is that methodology is a science and a system of methods.

Task 2: in the process of discussing the task, we asked students the question: what is the concept? What is a metaphor? Why, in their opinion, were excerpts from Jose Ortega y Gasset's article offered for review? What are the features of the definitions of the concept of “method”?

Interestingly, students performed the tasks differently, but during the discussion, they were active, wanted to learn about the answer options, and realized that scientists often use artistic techniques, so definitions can not only be formed logically but also metaphorically.

Conclusions from this study. The discipline “Fundamentals of Scientific Research” is full of the complex terminology and a large number of definitions. Theoretical coverage of the topics of the discipline becomes effective if it is interspersed with practical actions, alternation of theory and practice, involving students in research activities, in direct participation in the discussion of individual definitions.

The method of stimulating learning and the method of development of cognitive activity proposed by us help students to understand the existence of contradictions in the definition of scientific concepts and the essence of these contradictions, activate the process of cognition and promote critical thinking, demonstrate the road to new knowledge, promote scientific abilities, and instill the love of scientific research.

Prospects for further scientific research. The question of students' mastery of definitions of other concepts, in particular, such as categories of science, may be relevant for further research.

References

1. Andriichuk, V. H. (2016). Sutnisnyi aspekt metodolohii naukovykh doslidzhen [The essential aspect of research methodology]. *Ekonomika APK [Economics of agro-industrial complex]*, 7, 87–94 [in Ukrainian].
2. Belenka, G.V. (2020). Interaktyvni metody navchannia yak zasib rozvytku krytychnoho myslennia studentiv [Interactive teaching methods as a means of

- developing students' critical thinking]. Aktualni problemy psykholohii: Zbirnyk naukovykh prats Instytutu psykholohii imeni H.S. Kostiuka NAPN Ukrainy [*Actual problems of psychology* Collection of scientific works of the GS Institute of Psychology Kostyuk NAPS of Ukraine], Vol. I V: Psychology of preschool development, Issue 16, 33 – 45 [in Ukrainian].
3. Bulvinska, O. (2019). Cuchasni metody navchannia i vykladannia na osnovi doslidzhennia: zarubizhnyi dosvid [Modern methods of teaching and learning based on research: foreign experience]. *Osvitohichnyi diskurs* [Educational Discourse], 1-2 (24-25), 84–102 [in Ukrainian].
 4. Butenko, L.L. (2015). Kultura naukovoho myslennia yak peredumova uspishnosti diialnosti nauково-pedahohichnykh pratsivnykiv [Culture of scientific thinking as a precondition of successful activity of scientific and pedagogical researcher]. *Dukhovnist osobystosti: metodolohiia, teo-riia, praktyka* [Spirituality of a Personality: Methodology, Theory and Practice], 2(65), 27–39 [in Ukrainian].
 5. Danylian O.H., Tarianenko V.M. (2003). *Osnovy filosofii* [Fundamentals of Philosophy: Textbook. Manual] [in Ukrainian].
 6. Dziuba, M.M., Malevych, L.D. (2020). Informatysiini tekhnolohii vykorystannia merezhevykh resursiv dlia formuvannia terminolohichnoi kompetentnosti studentiv nefilolohichnykh spetsialnostei [The use of network resources for forming students' terminological competence of non-philological specialties]. *Informatysiini tekhnolohii i zasoby navchannia* [*Information Technologies and Learning Tools*], 76 (2), 137–151 [in Ukrainian].
 7. Tejada, J. (2013). Professionalisation of teaching in universities: Implications from a training perspective. *Universities and Knowledge Society Journal*, 10(1), 345–358 [in English].
 8. Zakon Ukrainy «Pro vyshchu osvitu» [Law of Ukraine «On Higher Education»] Retrieved from <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1556-18#Text>
 9. Al-Shammary, I.K.K., & Al-Fatlawy, S.M.K. (2021). The effectiveness of teaching by methods of reviewing understanding in the acquisition of cognitive

- processing skills university students through electronic education (within corona pandemic). *Psychology and Education*, 58(5), 2784-2800 [in English].
10. Kasperskyi E. (2006). *Literatura. Teoriia. Metodolohiia* [Literature. Theory. Methodology]. *Literatura. Teoriia. Metodolohiia* [Literature. Theory. Methodology], Translated from Polish. S. Yakovenko. Compiler and Science. ed. D. Ulitskaya.
 11. Moiseienko, R.N. (2018). Competitive teaching methods as a means of activating students' cognitive activity [Zmahalni metody navchannia yak zasib aktyvizatsii piznavalnoi diialnosti studentiv]. *Naukovi zapysky. Serii: Pedagogichni nauky* [Academic Notes. Series: Pedagogical Sciences], 173, 137–143 [in Ukrainian].
 12. Hermayawati, H. (2021.) Acculturating adult learners' critical thinking by limited facilitation on virtual courses. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 319-328 [in English].
 13. Okoye, K., Arrona-Palacios, A., Camacho-Zuñiga, Cl., Hammout, N., Nakamura, E.L., Escamilla, J., & Hosseini, S. (2020). Impact of student's evaluation of teaching: A text analysis of the teachers qualities by gender. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17, article number 49[in English].
 14. *Osnovy metodolohii ta orhanizatsii naukovykh doslidzhen* [Fundamentals of methodology and organization of scientific research: Textbook. way. for students, cadets, graduate students and adjuncts] (2010). Konverskyi A. Ye. (Ed.) [in Ukrainian].
 15. Pometun, O. I., Hupan, N. M. (2018). Rozvytok krytychnoho myslennia uchniv za dopomohoiu shkilnoho pidruchnyka istorii [Development of student's critical thinking skills by means of school history textbook]. [Problemy suchasnoho pidruchnyka [Problems of a Modern Textbook], 20, 327–338 [in Ukrainian].
 16. Rozin V. M., Shvyryov V. S., Goldberg F. I. et al. *Metodologija* [Methodology]. Gumanitarnyj portal [Humanitarian portal]. Poslednjaja redakcija [Last revised]: 22.03.2021. Retrieved from <https://gtmarket.ru/concepts/6870> [in Russian].

17. Slovnyk ukraïnskoi movy: v 11 tomakh [Dictionary of the Ukrainian language: in 11 volumes]. (1973). Vol. 4, 692. Retrieved from <http://sum.in.ua/s/metodologhija>
18. Sliusarenko, M., Pyvovarchyk, I. (2021). Metod pedahohichnoi evrystyky v umovakh onlain zaniat dlia vysvitlennia temy Imidzh fakhivtsia [Method of pedagogical heuristics in the conditions of online lessons to clear the topic of the professional's image]. *Visnyk Kremenchutskoho natsionalnogo universytetu imeni Mykhaila Ostrohradskoho [Transactions of Kremenchuk Mykhailo Ostrohradskyi National University]*, 2(127), 17–22.
19. Alrajeh, T. (2021). Project-based learning to enhance pre-service teachers' teaching skills in science education. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 271-279 [in English].
20. Hose Ortega-i-Gasset. (1991). Jestetika. Filosofija kul'tury [Aesthetics Philosophy of Culture] / Vstup. Art.G. M. Friedlander. Compiled by V.E.Bango [in Russian].

Список використаної літератури

1. Андрійчук В. Г. Сутнісний аспект методології наукових досліджень. *Економіка АПК*. 2016. № 7. С. 87–94.
2. Беленька Г.В. Інтерактивні методи навчання як засіб розвитку критичного мислення студентів. Актуальні проблеми психології: Збірник наукових праць Інституту психології імені Г.С. Костюка НАПН України. Т. I V. Психологія розвитку дошкільника. Випуск 16. Київ. 2020. С.33 – 45.
3. Бульвінська О. Сучасні методи навчання і викладання на основі дослідження: зарубіжний досвід. *Освітологічний дискурс*, 2019, № 1-2 (24-25). С. 84–102.
4. Бутенко Л. Л. Культура наукового мислення як передумова успішності діяльності науково-педагогічних працівників. *Духовність особистості: методологія, тео-рія, практика*. 2015. 2 (65). 27–39.
5. Данильян О.Г., Таряненко В.М. Основи філософії: Навч. посібник. Харків: Право, 2003. 352 с.

6. Дзюба М. М. та Малевич Л. Д. Інформаційні технології використання мережевих ресурсів для формування термінологічної компетентності студентів нефілологічних спеціальностей. *Інформаційні технології і засоби навчання*. 2020. № 2. 137–151. doi: <https://doi.org/10.33407/itlt.v76i2.2603>
7. José Tejada Fernández. Professionalisation of Teaching in Universities: Implications from a Training Perspective. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 2013. vol. 10, pp. 345–358. doi: [10.7238/rusc.v10i1.1471](https://doi.org/10.7238/rusc.v10i1.1471)
8. Закон України «Про вищу освіту». URL: <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/1556-18#Text>
9. Intisar Kadhim Khamis Al-Shammary, Dr. Suhaila Mohsen Kadhim Al-Fatlawy. The Effectiveness of Teaching by Methods of Reviewing Understanding in The Acquisition of Cognitive Processing Skills University Students Through Electronic Education (Within Corona Pandemic), *PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION*, 2021.vol. 58(5), 2784–2800.
10. Касперський Е. Література. Теорія. Методологія / Література. Теорія. Методологія: Пер. з польськ. С. Яковенка. / Упор. і наук. ред. Д. Уліцької. К.: Вид. дім «Києво-Могилянська академія», 2006. С. 9–37.
11. Мойсеєнко Р. Н. Змагальні методи навчання як засіб активізації пізнавальної діяльності студентів». *Наукові записки. Серія: Педагогічні науки*. 2018. Вип. 173. 137–143,
12. Hermayawati Hermayawati. Acculturating Adult Learners' Critical Thinking by Limited Facilitation on Virtual Courses. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*. 2021. vol. 9 (2), pp. 319–328. doi: [10.13189/ujer.2021.090208](https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2021.090208)
13. Okoye, K., Arrona-Palacios, A., Camacho-Zuñiga Cl., Hammout, Luttmann Nakamura, N. E., Escamilla, J. and Hosseini, S. Impact of students evaluation of teaching: a text analysis of the teachers qualities by gender. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 2020. vol. 17, pp. 1-27.

14. Основи методології та організації наукових досліджень: Навч. посіб. для студентів, курсантів, аспірантів і ад'юнтів / за ред. А. Є. Конверського. К.: Центр учбової літератури, 2010. 352 с.
15. Пометун, О. І., Гупан, Н. М. Розвиток критичного мислення учнів за допомогою шкільного підручника історії. *Проблеми сучасного підручника*. 2018. Вип. 20. 327–328.
16. Розин В. М., Швырёв В. С., Голдберг Ф. И., Бернштейн В. С. Методология / Гуманитарный портал: URL: <https://gtmarket.ru/concepts/6870> (дата звернення 25.10.2021).
17. Словник української мови: в 11 томах. Том 4, 1973. С. 692. URL: <http://sum.in.ua/s/metodologhija> (дата звернення: 25.10 2021).
18. Слюсаренко М. І., Пивоварчик І. М. Метод педагогічної евристики в умовах онлайн занять для висвітлення теми Імідж фахівця. *Вісник Кременчуцького національного університету імені Михайла Остроградського*. 2021. Вип. 2 (127). С. 17–22.
19. Tahani Salman Alrajeh. Project-based Learning to Enhance Pre-service Teachers' Teaching Skills in Science Education. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*. 2021. vol. 9(2), 271-279. doi: 10.13189/ujer.2021.090202
20. Хосе Ортега-и-Гассет. Естетика. Философия культуры / Вступ. ст. Г. М. Фридлендера. Сост. В. Е. Банго. М.: Искусство, 1991. 588с.